

House Education Committee Public Testimony HB 5618, HB 5619, HB 5620

By Peri Stone-Palmquist, LMSW, MPP, Executive Director

Student Advocacy Center of Michigan is pleased to offer support for HB 5618, HB 5619, and HB 5620. These bills send an important message that every school day matters and that our children and youth deserve support and care to learn from their mistakes and make amends.

Student Advocacy Center of Michigan has been around for 40 years helping students in educational crisis find a learning path to be productive citizens. We have offices in Ypsilanti, Detroit and Jackson and helped more than 600 school-age youth around the state last school year. Many of these youth come to us because they are expelled or suspended or are facing long-term removal, so we know their stories intimately.

We have seen the power of restorative practices, as advocated in HB 5619, as well as the "lesser interventions" mentioned in HB 5618. Alternatives such as student success teams, safety checks, community service, shorter removals, counseling, letters of apology, anger management classes work to get to the true root of the problem and promote real safety in school.

I think of a recent example, still playing out today. Two African-American teens in the same school got into fights on the school bus. Not connected in any way, other than the same school. Different days, different buses. Both of them have IEPs and neither school team thought the behavior was related to the disability.

One student did not have an advocate and was removed for 180 days. She is currently falling farther and farther behind and may earn no credits this semester — a real concern because she is already so behind.

The other one brought SAC to her hearing. We urged the district to reinstate immediately and provide more support, given her fragile mental health status. As a community partner, we committed to helping her do community service, to securing mental health support and to teaching her how to write apology letters. That young woman is back in class and has been pulling her grades up. She is doing really well.

The truth is, alternatives like this are working all around the country. Many other states, such as Arizonia, California, Colorado, Florida and many others explicitly encourage their districts to employ alternatives to school removal. This additional language is something we've been requesting for many, many years, and we are happy to see it.



I do ask that the Committee think about what else it can do. The Michigan School Justice Partnership has set forth other key recommendations that are widely supported among the county School Justice Partnership teams and align with the federal recommendations from a wide variety of bodies. These include:

- Giving school districts more discretion by removing mandatory expulsions for physical assault against another pupil, assault against school employee, volunteer, or contractor, possession of a dangerous weapon (other than a firearm), arson and criminal sexual conduct.
- Aligning state and federal law by amending the mandatory expulsion requirement for firearm to expulsion no less than a year and allowing the superintendent to modify this on a case by case basis if the modification is in writing (both in accordance with federal law).
- Adding a mandatory requirement to look at exceptions when there is a expulsion considered for weapons.

We would add that more attention must be paid to post-expulsion services. An education unlocks just about every opportunity, and all students, particularly those getting in trouble, need an education. The stats of who is most impacted by harsh school discipline are troubling. We are talking about low-income students, students of color, students with disabilities, particularly mental illness, and those impacted by trauma, abuse, neglect and homelessness. These are students who need and deserve more support, not less.

School suspension and expulsion greatly increases the change of school dropout, which exacts enormous costs to society. Schools should be required to secure a suitable alternative placement or provide a minimum of two hours of nonconsecutive hours of instruction a week until a suitable alternative can be secured.

Finally, we want to respectfully express concern about HB 5621, which mandates reporting to law enforcement. We believe schools should continue to be given discretion about when to call in law enforcement and instead be encouraged to use trained community peacekeepers and restorative practices. Any law enforcement that does interact with schools should be trained in topics such as child development, trauma and restorative practices. Currently, we see law enforcement brought in too early and frequently, which unnecessarily escalates situations and damages school climate. We worry this mandate would accelerate the school-to-prison pipeline.

Again, thank you for your time and consideration. These are critically important issues that have had devasting impacts on thousands and thousands of Michigan students. We can and must do better. The time is now.